UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APPLICATION NO ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/557,283 11/30/2006 Subroto Chatterjee 61383(71699) 9024 49383 7590 02/21/2008 **EXAMINER** EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP Client: JHU HOWARD, ZACHARY C P.O. BOX 55874 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02205 1646

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MAIL DATE

02/21/2008

DELIVERY MODE

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/557,283	CHATTERJEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ZACHARY C. HOWARD	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11,17,29,37 and 38 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-11, 17, 29, 37 and 38 are subject to	wn from consideration.	irement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Significant is required if the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s) is consistent or the drawing(s).	dee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The preliminary amendment of 11/19/05 has been entered in full. Claims 4-9, 11, and 17 are amended. Claims 12-16, 18-28, 30-36 are canceled.

Claims 1-11, 17, 29, 37 and 38 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a method of determining risk comprising measuring the level of ApoCI protein in a sample from a subject.

Group II, claim(s) 17 and 29, drawn to a method of identifying a test compound that binds to ApoC1 or inhibits ApoC1 activity.

Group III, claims 37 and 38, drawn to a method of treating a subject comprising administering an ApoCl inhibitor.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475 (B-D), the ISA/US considers that where multiple products and/or processes are claimed, the main invention shall consist of the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto. In the instant case, there are no product claims, only three categories of process claims.

Accordingly, the main invention consists of the first process of use of ApoCI protein (Group I), the second invention consists of the next process of use of ApoCI

Art Unit: 1646

protein (Group II), and third invention consists of a process of use of an ApoCI protein inhibitor.

Elections of species

If Group I is elected, in addition to the above restriction requirement, 3 elections of species are required as follows:

(I) Group I contains claims directed to more than one species of <u>patient sex</u>: (a) female or (b) male.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species in the following manner:

- 1. Claim 5 corresponds to species (a).
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 are generic with respect to these species.
- (II) Group I contains claims directed to more than one species of <u>patient history</u>:
 (a) wherein the patient has been previously diagnosed with atherosclerosis and (b)
 wherein the patient has not been previously diagnosed with atherosclerosis;

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species in the following manner:

- 1. Claim 6 corresponds to species (a).
- 2. Claim 7 corresponds to species (b).
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-11 are generic with respect to these species.
- (III) Group I contains claims directed to more than one species of <u>patient age</u>: (a) infant and (b) not infant;
 - 1. Claims 9-11 corresponds to species (a).
 - 2. Claims 1-8 are generic with respect to these species.

Each of these groups of species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species of (I) patient sex; (II) patient history; and (III) patient age to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

Application/Control Number: 10/557,283

Art Unit: 1646

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Risk for development of disease is often correlated with highly specific subsets of patients. Patient sex, history and age may independently contribute to a correlation between ApoCl protein level and risk of disease. Lack of unity is shown because the species of patients lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary C. Howard whose telephone number is 571-272-2877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary B. Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,283

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Z. C. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1646

> /<u>Elizabeth C. Kemmerer</u>/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646

Page 5

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

